IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITINO NO.376 OF 2006

Subhash Ramkumar Bind ...Petitioner.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra
and Ors. ...Respondents.

. . .

Mrs.Smita R. Kadu @ Gawai, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mrs.U.V.Kejariwal, APP, for the State.

. . .

CORAM : D.G.DESHPANDE AND
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.

DATE : APRIL 21, 2006.

ORAL ORDER (PER Smt.TAHILRAMANI,J.)

. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. Rule. By consent Rule is made returnable forthwith.

3. The petitioner had preferred an application for furlough. The said application came to be rejected on the ground that if the petitioner is released on furlough, he may abscond and not surrender back in time.

4. No material has been pointed out by the authorities to come to such a conclusion. Moreover, it is seen that the co-accused has been granted furlough. The said co-accused has surrendered back in time. In this view of the matter, we are inclined to grant furlough to the petitioner.

5. The petitioner shall be released on furlough on his complying with the usual terms and conditions. In addition thereto, the petitioner shall inform the jail authorities the address at which he will reside during the period that he is on furlough and he shall report to the nearest police station to that address on every alternate day, during the period that he is on furlough.

6. Rule is made absolute in above terms.

(D.G.DESHPANDE, J.)

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)